

6389. Adulteration and misbranding of chloroform liniment. U. S. * * *
v. Roger W. Duffey. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$20. (F. & D.
No. 8479. I. S. No. 4908-m.)

On April 18, 1918, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the police court of the District aforesaid an information against Roger W. Duffey, Washington, D. C., alleging that said defendant, on February 8, 1917, at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, did offer for sale and sell a quantity of an article labeled in part, "Chloroform Liniment," which was adulterated and misbranded.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed the following results:

Alcohol (per cent by volume)	46.7
Chloroform (mils per 1,000 mils)	177

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it was sold under and by a name recognized in the United States Pharmacopœia, and differed from the standard of strength, quality, and purity as determined by the tests laid down in said Pharmacopœia, official at the time of investigation of the article, in that in 1,000 mils of the article there were 177 mils of chloroform, whereas the said Pharmacopœia provides that in 1,000 mils of the article there shall be 300 mils of chloroform, and the standard of strength, quality, and purity of the article was not declared on the container thereof.

Misbranding of the article was alleged for the reason that it contained chloroform and alcohol, and the label failed to bear a statement of the quantity or proportion of chloroform and alcohol contained therein.

On April 18, 1918, the defendant entered a plea of nolo contendere to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$20.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*